

Adults and Safer City Scrutiny Panel

14 July 2015

Time 6.00 pm **Public Meeting?** YES **Type of meeting** Scrutiny
Venue Committee Room 3 - Civic Centre, St Peter's Square, Wolverhampton WV1 1SH

Membership

Chair Cllr Paula Brookfield (Lab)
Vice-chair

Labour	Conservative	Liberal Democrat
Cllr Ian Claymore Cllr Bishan Dass Cllr Jasbinder Dehar Cllr Rupinderjit Kaur Cllr Linda Leach Cllr Lynne Moran Cllr Rita Potter Cllr Daniel Warren	Cllr Barry Findlay Cllr Patricia Patten	

Quorum for this meeting is three Councillors.

Information for the Public

If you have any queries about this meeting, please contact the democratic support team:

Contact Deb Breedon
Tel/Email 01902 551250 or deborah.breedon@wolverhampton.gov.uk
Address Democratic Support, Civic Centre, 1st floor, St Peter's Square,
Wolverhampton WV1 1RL

Copies of other agendas and reports are available from:

Website <http://wolverhampton.moderngov.co.uk>
Email democratic.support@wolverhampton.gov.uk
Tel 01902 555043

Some items are discussed in private because of their confidential or commercial nature. These reports are not available to the public.

Please take note of the protocol for filming, recording, and use of social media in meetings, copies of which are displayed in the meeting room.

Agenda

Part 1 – items open to the press and public

<i>Item No.</i>	<i>Title</i>
1	Apologies
2	Declarations of Interest
3	Nomination for Vice-Chair
4	Minutes of final meeting of the Adults and Community Scrutiny Panel (10.03.2015) (Pages 3 - 8)

DISCUSSION ITEMS

- | | |
|---|--|
| 5 | Prevent Duty Implications (Pages 9 - 16)

[To provide a report to consider the corporate implications of the duty and identify ways in which implementation can be supported] |
| 6 | Exclusion of press and public

Resolved:
That, in accordance with Section 100A(4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business as they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information under paragraphs 1 relating to information in respect of any individual. |

PRE-DECISION SCRUTINY

- | | | |
|---|--|-----|
| 7 | Better Care technology and strengthening support at home (Pages 17 - 26) | (1) |
| | [To provide Councillors with opportunity to consider and comment on Better Care technology and strengthening support at home and feed any comments from Scrutiny into the Cabinet report.] | |



Adults and Community Scrutiny Panel

Minutes - 10 March 2015

Attendance

Members of the Adults and Community Scrutiny Panel

Cllr Paula Brookfield (Chair)
Cllr Patricia Patten (Vice-Chair)
Cllr Payal Bedi
Cllr Ian Claymore
Cllr Jasbinder Dehar
Cllr Rita Potter
Cllr Bishan Dass
Cllr Barry Findlay
Cllr Stephen Simkins

Employees

Deborah Breedon	Scrutiny Officer
Tony Ivko	Service Director - Older People
Kathy Roper	Head of Young Adults Commissioning

Part 1 – items open to the press and public

Item No. *Title*

- 1 Apologies**
Apologies for absence were received from Cllr Linda Leach
- 2 Declarations of Interest**
There were no declarations of interest received for this meeting.
- 3 Minutes of the previous meeting (13.1.15)**

Resolved:

That the minutes of the meeting held on 13 January 2015 be approved as a correct record and signed by the Chair subject to an amendment to minute 5 relating to Wolverhampton Citizens Advice Bureau to take account that:

Cllr Stephen Simkins expressed concerns that any policy changes or further austerity measures can increase in homelessness, numbers of looked after children and other financial strains which over time may impact on the mental health of residents and trigger an increase in demand for advice and support in the City.

- 4 Matters arising**

There were no matters arising.

5 Exclusion of press and public

Resolved:

That in accordance with Section 100A (4) of the Local Government Act 1972, the press and public be excluded from the meeting for the following items of business as they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information falling within the paragraphs of Schedule 12A of the Act set out below:]

Item No.	Title Applicable	Paragraph
6	In House Services – Adult Social Care	1

6 In House Services - Adult Social Care

Anthony Ivko, Service Director Older People and Kathy Roper, Commissioning Disability and Mental Health Team Manager provided a report which both informed the panel of the outcomes of budget consultation on Duke Street residential bungalows and the Community Outreach and Enablement Services, and enabled the Panel to carry out pre-decision scrutiny of the next phase of the reconfiguration of in-house services with regard to:

- Learning Disability – Duke Street Residential Bungalows
- Learning Disability – Community Outreach and Enablement Services
- Older People – Residential and Domiciliary Reablement
- Older People – Assistive Technology (Telecare and Carelink)
- Older People – Ekta

Learning Disability – Duke Street Residential Bungalows:

The Service Director advised that the report seeks permission to continue work started to engage residents of Duke Street and their families and refer back to Cabinet in June for decision. He advised that care would be changing at Duke Street, currently a Council run residential home for 20 adults with profound learning difficulties. The Service Director indicated that with the right support people with severe disability can live independently and recognised best practice supports the supported housing model.

Panel were advised that the consultation with carers had been carried out in a fair way and that Viv Griffin, Service Director Disability and Mental Health and the Team Manager had met with the carers and were continuing dialogue and keeping engaged.

Councillors indicated that Wolverhampton Homes has demonstrated best practice in a number of fields that were being discussed in relation to supporting independent living. The Team Manager indicated that a tenancy was more secure for the resident than a place in a residential care home. Councillors felt that there was a need for a mindset change, engagement with families and growing confidence with the employees.

Comments of the panel to refer to the Cabinet Member for Adults Services:

- Need to be clearer about what the difference would be for the tenants

- Why an RSL, why not Wolverhampton Homes?
- Support for the principle of promoting independence.
- Emphasised the need to continue to engage with the families of residents, acknowledging that the proposals for change will inevitably cause concern and worry.
- A comment, why externalise? Options should also consider the benefit of continuing in house provision.

Learning Disability – Community Outreach and Enablement Services

The Team Leader provided a brief summary of the outcome of consultation relating to Community outreach and enablement services. The service has provided care services for people with a learning disability living in their own homes for a number of years.

The consultation considered future options including:

- Whether to run the service thorough in house provider
- Externalise to a single provider
- The service to be delivered externally

Councillors questioned whether the service could be developed in house and the council tender for the services. The Panel agreed that there is a need to have accountability and to be compliant; they identified the need to evaluate the associated risks.

Comments of the panel to refer to the Cabinet Member for Adults Services:

- To include consideration of an in house provision
- Risk and Benefit analysis

Older People – Residential and Domiciliary Reablement

The Service Director advised that under the Better Care Fund (BCF) many services are being merged and a lot of services are working well with health colleagues. He advised that there are three streams under BCF, one of which is enablement. The Panel discussed how this service could be done together but differently. The Service Director advised that the before and after mapping for reablement make sense because the frontline staff will be redesignated and there will be a budget saving as a result.

The Service Director advised that there are benefits with this approach and that there are issues relating to governance; risk and benefit; in particular how do we share risk. He advised that the agreement is a 'dead hand' agreement, essentially if one partner takes their hand off the agreement, the agreement breaks. The risks on both sides are huge.

The panel referred the comments relating to residential and domiciliary reablement to the Cabinet Member for Adults Services for information.

Assistive Technology - Carelink and Telecare

Carelink and Telecare are two separate in-house services. The mobile response element for both is currently commissioned through an external market domiciliary

care organisation. A market warming exercise involving 16 providers has shown there to be a viable market place for providing integrated community alarms and telecare service.

The Service Director outlined headlines arising from the consultation, as detailed in the report. He highlighted the aspiration to develop a more joined up service, to continue to develop proposals with additional analysis, maximising all opportunities for efficiency and to explore the integration of services including the responder service where the external market contract expires on 31 May 2015. The Team Manager advised that permission is being requested to do an options appraisal and that the Better Care Fund (BCF) would be explored for potential funding. She advised that there are many advantages of assistive technology and devices in the home, particularly relating to safety and there will be a reduction in waking night staff costs as technology heat and movement sensors can ensure safety without staff in attendance. Councillors advised that the service should not be afraid of using assistive technology.

Councillors considered the need to involve the university and to look at new and cutting edge technologies such as mobile phones, telecare, GPS tracking etc; and to pass the Panel's comments on to the scrutiny review of Channel Shift at its final meeting.

Comments of the panel to refer to the Cabinet Member for Adults Services:

- The Panel are fully supportive of integrated development, 'shouldn't be afraid of using modern technologies on a large scale
- The City should be ambitious in developing assistive technology, engage with universities and NHS, aspire to be at the cutting edge
- Further work to identify the benefits for quality of life for individuals and Communities

Older People – Ekta

EKTA provides 45 day care places per day but the day case service is considered outdated and the building is not currently viable or consistent with a personalised model of care.

The Service Director advised that there had been terrific commitment from the community about Ekta but that, as the report details, there is a need to carry out a further consultation process as there are a range of models that can be looked at, including consideration of the future use of the building for instance as an asset transfer.

The Service Director indicated that Kaleidoscope, an organisation that shares the use of building, complicates the situation and means that more consideration needs to be given to the matter. The suggestion of putting Kaleidoscope and EKTA together but sitting separately was considered as more viable, as was a suggestion to explore other options to deliver the service in the community, such as the community taking over the EKTA Centre

The Panel heard that there was a lot of friends and family actively engaged in the EKTA Centre and that further consultation was the way forward.

Comments of the panel to refer to the Cabinet Member for Adults Services:

Reinforced the importance of working with the Community, families and individuals

The Service Director gave a summary of the comments to refer to the Cabinet Member and the Panel resolved:

1. To note the outcomes of consultation with regard to Learning Disability – Duke Street Residential Bungalows and Learning Disability – Community Outreach and Enablement Service
2. To note that the item is being considered as pre-decision scrutiny and will therefore not be available to call-in once the decision has been made by the Executive
3. To refer the following comments of the Adults and Community Scrutiny Panel to the Cabinet Member Adult Services to present to Cabinet 11 March 2015:

Learning Disability – Duke Street Residential Bungalows:

- Need to be clearer about what the difference would be for the tenants
- Why an RSL, why not Wolverhampton Homes?
- Support for the principle of promoting independence.
- Emphasised the need to continue to engage with the families of residents, acknowledging that the proposals for change will inevitably cause concern and worry.
- A comment, why externalise? Options should also consider the benefit of continuing in house provision.

Learning Disability – Community Outreach and Enablement Services:

- To include consideration of an in house provision
- Risk and Benefit analysis

Older People – Residential and Domiciliary Reablement:

- To note the comments relating to residential and domiciliary reablement to the Cabinet Member for Adults Services

Assistive Technology - Carelink and Telecare:

- The Panel are fully supportive of integrated development, 'shouldn't be afraid of using modern technologies on a large scale
- The City should be ambitious in developing assistive technology, engage with universities and NHS, aspire to be at the cutting edge
- Further work to identify the benefits for quality of life for individuals and Communities

Older People – Ekta:

- Reinforced the importance of working with the Community, families and individuals

General:

- There was overall a supportive response to the paper which is looking to the future rather than the past
- The new commissioned arrangements should be supported by capacity for monitoring of these contracts to ensure safe delivery of support.
- Expectation that robust governance arrangements are in place for new arrangements, with complete clarity about accountability arrangements.
- There needs to be clearer statements of the benefits of partnerships

Thanks to the Chair

The Vice-Chair thanked the Chair on behalf of the Panel for a good year of scrutiny. Councillors agreed that the range, openness and honesty of witnesses had really lifted the standard, focus and depth of scrutiny.

The Service Director thanked the Chair and Panel Members for the challenge provided by scrutiny during the year, which he advised had changed what the Service group had done and the way forward for service and policy delivery.



Adults & Safer City Scrutiny Panel

14 July 2015

Report title	Preparing for the Prevent Duty	
Cabinet member with lead responsibility	Councillor Sandra Samuels	
Wards affected	All	
Accountable director	Linda Sanders, People	
Originating service	Community Safety	
Accountable employee(s)	Karen Samuels Tel: 01902 551341 karen.samuels@wolverhampton.gov.uk	Head of Community Safety
Report to be/has been considered by	People Leadership Team - 27 April 2015 Strategic Executive Board - 26 May 2015 Place Leadership Team - 1 June 2015 Corporate Leadership Team – 1 June 2015	

Recommendation(s) for action or decision:

Scrutiny Panel is recommended to:

1. Consider the corporate implications of the duty and identify ways in which implementation can be supported.

1.0 Background

- 1.1 Prevent is a strand of the government CONTEST strategy, aimed at stopping people being drawn into terrorism.

CONTEST is organised around four principal workstreams:

- **Pursue:** to stop terrorist attacks
 - **Prevent:** to stop people from becoming terrorists or supporting terrorism
 - **Protect:** to strengthen our protection against terrorist attack
 - **Prepare:** where an attack cannot be stopped, to mitigate its impact
- 1.2 The Police, intelligence, civil contingencies and national agencies tasked with protecting and promoting the resilience of the UK's national infrastructure are responsible for delivering the Pursue, Protect and Prepare workstreams. Local Authorities are responsible for leading on Prevent.
- 1.3 The national Prevent strategy focuses on three key areas which are:
- Respond to the ideological challenge of terrorism and the threat from those who promote it.
 - Prevent people from being drawn into terrorism and ensure that they are given appropriate advice and support.
 - Work with sectors and institutions where there are risks of radicalisation that we need to address.
- 1.4 The current threat to the UK and its interests from international terrorism is severe. This means that a terrorist attack is highly likely. One of the security concerns is the potential for British citizens and residents to become radicalised and commit acts of violence or terrorism. There is also a heightened concern about travel to Syria and Iraq where terrorist organisations are active and the potential risks from returnees.

2.0 The Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015

- 2.1 The Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015 introduces a raft of measures to strengthen the national response to terrorism and build local resilience. The Act creates a statutory duty for Local Authorities to have '*due regard to the need to prevent people from being drawn into terrorism*'.
- 2.2 In addition to Local Authorities, the following are also impacted by the new duty:
- Higher education providers
 - Further education providers
 - Schools
 - The health sector
 - Prisons
 - Probation providers
 - Police

- 2.3 Guidance has been issued which sets out clear expectations for these organisations/sectors to evidence effective leadership, how they work in partnership, how they are developing organisational capabilities, sharing information and undertaking monitoring and enforcement. This element of the Act is expected to come into force in July 2015. Prevent duty guidance can be accessed here: [Prevent Duty Guidance](#) . The Act also places Channel Panel (see below) on a statutory footing under local authority responsibility.

3.0 Channel Panel

- 3.1 Channel is a multi-agency panel held bi-monthly to review cases where people have been identified as being at risk from radicalisation. The nature and extent of that risk is assessed and a support plan developed to meet the needs of the individuals concerned.
- 3.2 Channel provides a pre-criminal space for safeguarding children and adults from being drawn into committing terrorist-related activity. It delivers early intervention to protect and divert people away from the risk they face before illegality occurs.
- 3.3 There is already a well-established Channel Panel in operation in the city. Whilst Prevent referrals in Wolverhampton have historically been very low, there has been a marked increase in new referrals seeking support since November 2014.

4.0 Wolverhampton's Risk & Threat Level

- 4.1 Although the national threat level is severe, Wolverhampton continues to experience low levels of extremist activity when compared to other areas of the West Midlands. The local risk and threat is classed as low, based on the demographic profile and historic levels of referrals.
- 4.2 Wolverhampton is one of only two local authorities in the West Midlands that has not been classed as a priority area by the Home Office, and consequently, will not receive Home Office funding under the Act to support implementation of the duty. There is some doubt that this assessment accurately reflects the risk and threat level in the city, however, a proportionate response to the new requirements will need to be adopted.
- 4.3 Prevent is led by the Community Safety service, within Public Health & Well Being; whilst there are clear links with community cohesion and safeguarding, the council will need to evidence compliance with the Prevent duty across all its service areas.

5.0 Preparing a Corporate Response

- 5.1 The attached Appendix A summarises implications of the duty on the City Council, our current position in relation to this and identifies further areas for development.
- 5.2 Briefings have been delivered to each of the Council's Leadership teams to provide an update on the requirements, seek input into the areas for development, consider potential service-specific implications and identify options to support implementation.

- 5.3 Responses will shape both a Council implementation plan and development of a city-wide plan spanning the various partners impacted by the duty.
- 5.4 Whilst work to pull together the council's implementation plan continues, the following preparatory work has already started:
- Prevent training sessions delivered for Councillors in March 2015; 30 Councillors attended and provided positive feedback on the training. Further follow up training will be made available to remaining Councillors.
 - An updated briefing note has been provided for inclusion in the briefing pack for newly elected councillors.
 - Workforce Development Team is supporting development of an e-learning resource for use by all staff and Councillors to raise general awareness of Prevent and Channel – available for launch June 2015.
 - Prevent training to be included on the Safeguarding training programme.
 - Both Adults and Children's Safeguarding Boards have indicated their support for integrating Prevent within existing safeguarding practice. An awareness event was held in March 2015 for organisational safeguarding leads.

6.0 Preparing the City-wide response

- 6.1 To avoid duplication of resources and effort, it is important that a more coordinated multi-agency approach is adopted across the city. As a non-priority area, and the financial implications of this, Wolverhampton will be more reliant on the willingness of partners to work collaboratively and adapt mainstream approaches to comply with the duty.
- 6.2 A scoping meeting was held with partners affected by the duty on 13 April 2015. Consensus was reached on the need to coordinate city-wide delivery of Prevent with overwhelming support for development of a Prevent Board (with the view that this could be expanded to include the government's wider CONTEST remit). Further discussions are underway with those partners who were not in attendance to gauge views before this is developed further with organisational endorsements for the approach being sought.
- 6.3 It was agreed that each agency takes stock of where they are against the duty by completing an audit of current provision. Audit returns will be collated by the end of May 2015.
- 6.4 There is an existing Prevent Delivery Plan in place (largely Police-led) which is very limited in scope. The audit returns will be used to further develop this into a city-wide plan to coordinate delivery across agencies.

7.0 Early cross-agency priorities

- 7.1 A number of early priorities have been identified to be progressed in tandem with the revised Delivery Plan. These are:
- The need to raise general awareness of Prevent and the referral pathway to Channel as an extension of safeguarding practice.
 - Increasing trainer capacity within all agencies affected by the duty to deliver future Prevent training – the national view is that Counter Terrorism Unit (CTU) teams should withdraw from delivery of training with immediate effect – local negotiations have been undertaken to facilitate a further training session to grow our city-wide bank of accredited WRAP3 trainers to meet future demand.
 - Prioritise training delivery to front-line services operating in areas where there is a heightened risk (geographic and sector-specific).

8.0 Early Identified Support for Schools

- 8.1 Inclusion of Prevent and the promotion of fundamental British values as part of spiritual, moral, social, and cultural development delivered in schools have already been introduced as part of the Ofsted Inspection framework. Work to identify the early support needs of schools has started and will continue up to the end of the summer term with a view to continuing delivery at the start of the autumn term. The split between those schools coming under Local Authority control and independent schools raises difficulties around identifying appropriate representation for engagement and delivery of training. So far, the following steps have been taken or are planned:
- 8.2 Train the trainer – One staff member within school standards team has undertaken train the trainer training in February 2015. Capacity will be extended for additional trainers within school standards to be trained and scope to include the school workforce team and independent schools in the next round of train the trainer scheduled for 3 July 2015.
- 8.3 School training – CTU have delivered 20 training sessions to schools so far this academic year (covering staff, governors and pupils). Since completing the train the trainer course, the schools standards team will have delivered WRAP3 training to an additional 30 schools approx. before the end of summer term and have distributed support material to schools to aid evidence gathering for delivery of the 'British values' element of the Ofsted requirements. A further training session led by CTU is scheduled for 50 school governors on 3 June 2015 with a further follow up session to be arranged.
- 8.4 The Home Office are making available a national repository where free support resources to aid delivery of Prevent can be accessed. This should be fully operational in June 2015. Information detailing access will be circulated to schools via the School Post as soon as it becomes available.

9.0 Financial implications

- 9.1 Wolverhampton has not been assessed as a Home Office priority area, so will not be in receipt of funding for a Prevent Coordinator. Safer Wolverhampton Partnership has agreed an allocation of £35,000 from the 2015/16 Community Safety Fund to establish a 12 month fixed term contract to support implementation of the duty.
[NM/29062015/H]

10.0 Legal implications

- 10.1 Section 26 of the Counter Terrorism and Security Act 2015 places a statutory duty on the City Council and other designated bodies to have 'due regard to the need to prevent people from being drawn into terrorism'; section 29 of the Act provides guidance for these bodies and details the expectations of compliance.
[TS/26062015/F]

11.0 Equalities implications

- 11.1 The introduction of this legislation is aimed at strengthening the responsibilities of Local Authorities and other bodies to deliver against the national Prevent strategy. An equalities screening of the local implementation of Prevent reflects local compliance with this national policy.

12.0 Environmental implications

- 12.1 There are no environmental implications.

13.0 Human resources implications

- 13.1 The Community Safety Team will be appointing a fixed term 12 month Prevent/Cohesion Officer to support implementation of the Prevent duty and revised Prevent Delivery Plan.

14.0 Corporate landlord implications

- 14.1 There are no corporate landlord implications.

15.0 Schedule of background papers

- 15.1 None.

Appendix A

Updated: 25.06.15

Prevent duty – Implications for Wolverhampton City Council

Local Authority Implications: Leadership	Current position	Areas for Development
Provide effective leadership, communication, ensuring staff capability and compliance with the duty.	Briefings held with Council Leadership teams, SEB and other forums as required. Councillor training delivered and well attended (March 2015); briefing note prepared for newly elected councillors.	Key communications messages to be developed in liaison with communications team. Further offer of training to be made to councillors who have not yet been trained.
Incorporate the duty into existing policies and procedures so it becomes part of day-to-day business; ensuring integration as part of existing safeguarding responsibilities.	Not currently reflected.	Corporate or service-specific policies to be revised – liaise with Policy team.
Revise contracts for newly contracted services to be compliant with the principles of the duty.	Not currently reflected. Discussion to be arranged with Legal Services and Procurement.	Liaise with Legal team
Local Authority Implications: Working in partnership		
Ensure arrangements for effective engagement with communities and community based organisations is in place.	Well-established Community Cohesion Forum and links with community forums are being strengthened.	To be taken forward with Prevent/Cohesion Officer once appointed.
Through the multi-agency forum, establish and implement an action plan to enable compliance and respond to identified risks.	Counter Terrorism Local Profile (CTLP) Delivery Plan already in place – currently too narrow in its focus.	Audit of current position being undertaken by agencies impacted by the duty. Revised multi-agency plan to be developed.
Use and help shape the CTLP to assess the risk to an area.	Limited intelligence/data used to inform CTLP.	Opportunity for systematic feed-in of intelligence/data across partners and communities. Possible data sources to be identified via audit and communications with community networks.
Work in partnership; to take a lead on establishing (or use an existing) appropriate forum to coordinate and monitor Prevent activity; ensuring links to safeguarding boards, Channel Panels and Youth Offending	Informal partner arrangements currently in place with 6 monthly progress report to SWP. Strong links already developed with safeguarding and Youth Offending Team; well-established Channel Panel in place.	Scoping meeting held with partners to gauge views on formal city-wide Board to drive Prevent/CONTEST. Standardisation of regional Channel Panels proposed via Counter Terrorism Unit (CTU) and statutory guidance issued.

Appendix A

Updated: 25.06.15

Teams.		
Local Authority Implications: Developing organisational capabilities		
Ensure frontline staff have a sound understanding of Prevent, an awareness of available support and how to access this.	CTLP briefing extended to include wider partners. Channel safeguarding event held March 2015. E-learning resource being developed with workforce development for Council roll out via Learning Hub July 2015.	Roll out of e-learning resource. Development of Council Prevent trainers across each Directorate. Guidance and referral details to be uploaded on Council intranet.
Ensure publicly owned venues and resources are not used as a platform for extremists; this includes appropriate use of IT filters on public access computers.	Limit to IT filters applied to public-access PC's. Guidance sought via CTU on use of appropriate filters.	Load IT filters across Council network once guidance received from Home Office.
Deliver staff training to ensure appropriate frontline staff (including contractors) have a good understanding of Prevent, are able to recognise vulnerability and are aware of how to refer to Channel.	Channel safeguarding event held March 2015. e-learning resource being developed with workforce development for Council roll out via Learning Hub. Prevent included within programme of safeguarding training.	Suggestion to include within safeguarding training programme and link to newly established Safeguarding in schools appointment.
Local Authority Implications: Sharing Information		
Share information appropriately in delivery of the Prevent duty.	Safeguarding principles apply.	To be included as part of communications.
Local Authority Implications: Monitoring & enforcement		
Extend the duty to those private and voluntary organisations providing services or exercising functions in relation to children (e.g. children's homes, fostering agencies).	Clarification needed on affected agencies.	Liaise with commissioning leads across Council services.
Understand the range of out of school settings in operation (e.g. supplementary schools, tuition centres) and take appropriate and proportionate steps to safeguard children attending these facilities.	Clarification needed on out of school settings.	Continue to liaise with School Improvement team to identify school support needs and develop capacity to respond.
Maintain appropriate records to show compliance with the duty.	Discussions needed on how best to capture this corporately.	

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank